

postmenopausal status (OR 1.3, CI 1.2-1.3); and stage of disease. ORs for stages II to IV, compared to stage I, were 1.4 (CI 1.0-1.8), 1.9 (CI 1.5-2.5) and 2.0 (CI 1.3-3.0). Use of colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) was reported in 14% of patients. (Inter-audit range: 5% to 17%.) In 18% of patients with one or more neutropenic events, use of CSF started after the first event.




Fig. 1. Incidence of neutropenic events by cycle, adjusted for age (N combined = 251)

**Conclusions:** Low ARDI was associated with the occurrence of neutropenic events, use of a non-anthracycline-based regimen, prior or concomitant radiotherapy, postmenopausal status, and higher stage of disease. Prospective studies should be performed to confirm these findings and to identify additional risk and protective factors.

17

ORAL

### Can Danish breast cancer patients by early diagnosis achieve the same survival as observed in Sweden? A study in screened and non-screened Danish and Swedish populations.

A.R. Jensen<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Garne<sup>2</sup>, H.H. Storm<sup>3</sup>, M. Ewertz<sup>4</sup>, S. Cold<sup>5</sup>, T. Alvegaard<sup>6</sup>, J. Overgaard<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Centre for Cancer Documentation, Dep of Exp Clinl, Danish Cancer Society, Aarhus, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Dept of Surgery L, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Centre for Cancer Documentation, Dep of Prevention and Documentation, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Dept. of Oncology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark; <sup>5</sup>Dept. of Oncology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; <sup>6</sup>South Swedish Regional Tumour Registry, Lund University Hospital, Lund

**Background:** A 9% difference in 5-year survival in breast cancer patients diagnosed in 1983-89 has been reported in comparisons between the Danish and the Swedish Cancer Registry. Our study aim was to analyse, whether previous observed differences in terms of survival and extent of disease still exists or if the Danish figures for breast cancer patients approach the Swedish.

**Methods:** Population based cohorts of patients with primary invasive breast cancer in 1996-1997 in selected geographical areas in Denmark and Sweden were compared with respect to stage of disease and outcome. The regions under study were selected as a Danish (Funen) and a Swedish (Malmö) county with mammography screening together with two Danish counties with no screenings programme. Median follow-up time was 6.4 years [5.6 to 7.6].

**Results:** No difference in extent of disease or survival was observed in areas with screening programmes regardless of country. However, there were significant differences in stage distribution and survival between the screening populations in Sweden and Denmark and two Danish non-screening populations, all in benefit to the populations provided mammography screening. Tumour size was 17 / 18 vs. 20 mm ( $p < 0.001$ ). The 5 year over-all survival was in Malmö 77% [72-82], in Funen 75% [71-78] and in the Danish non-screening counties 71% [68-73]. Corresponding disease-specific survival was 84% [79-78] and 83% [80-86] vs. 77% [74-79]. In the multivariate regression analysis increasing age, tumour size and extent of disease decreased survival and explained the observed differences in survival between patient populations. After adjusting for extent of disease there were no difference in survival according to county of residence.

**Conclusion:** The observed differences in survival could be attributed to early diagnosis and more favourable stage distribution in populations offered mammography screening programmes and was not related to country of residence (Sweden/Denmark). The study suggests that non-screening counties by focus on early detection may approach the same beneficial stage distribution and survival as observed in Sweden.

18

ORAL

### Impact of early start of adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer in Denmark.

S. Cold<sup>1</sup>, M. Ewertz<sup>2</sup>, A. Knoop<sup>3</sup>, M. Dyring<sup>4</sup>, K. Gunnarsdottir<sup>5</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Odense University Hospital, Dept. of Oncology, Odense, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Aalborg Hospital, Dept. of Oncology, Aalborg, Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Odense University Hospital, Dept. of Oncology, Odense, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Rigshospitalet, Secretariat of the Danish Breast Cancer Co-operative Group, København, Denmark; <sup>5</sup>Rigshospitalet, Secretariat of the Danish Breast Cancer Co-operative Group, København, Denmark

**Background:** Evidence on the impact of early start of adjuvant chemotherapy is sparse as randomised trials have not been performed. The topic is of interest due to pressure from patients and politicians to start treatment as early as possible and to avoid waiting lists for chemotherapy.

**Material and methods:** In the database of the Danish Breast Cancer Co-operative Group information was available on date of breast surgery and date of starting chemotherapy for 7772 patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy during 1977-1999. The majority (80%) had received i.v. CMF and 6% classical CMF. The remaining 14% received CEF. The time period between surgery and start of chemotherapy was examined in multivariate Cox-proportional hazard analyses including age, tumour diameter, number of removed lymph nodes, number of tumour involved lymph nodes, receptor status, histological type (+/- ductal), malignancy grade, +/- radiotherapy and type of chemotherapy.

**Results:** The analyses showed that timing of chemotherapy had no independent significant effect on survival, implying that patients who started chemotherapy soon after surgery had a similar prognosis to those starting chemotherapy later, which in the present material was up to 3 months after surgery. We cannot rule out that there may be an impact restricted to certain subgroups of patients (premenopausal with tumours not expressing oestrogen receptors, Colleoni et al JCO, 18(3), 2000: 584-90).

19

ORAL

### Fractionation sensitivity of breast cancer. Results of a randomised trial

J.R. Owen<sup>1</sup>, A. Ashton<sup>1</sup>, J. Regan<sup>2</sup>, B. Broad<sup>1</sup>, C. Jackson<sup>3</sup>, J. Homewood<sup>3</sup>, J. Bliss<sup>3</sup>, S.M. Bentzen<sup>4</sup>, J.R. Yarnold<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Gloucestershire Oncology Centre, Cheltenham General Hospital, Cheltenham, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>The Royal Marsden Hospital, Academic Radiotherapy, Sutton, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>The Institute of Cancer Research, Epidemiology, Sutton, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Gray Cancer Institute, Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, United Kingdom

**Aim:** To test the long-term normal tissue and tumour effects of fraction sizes larger than 2.0 Gy in patients undergoing breast radiotherapy.

**Methods:** Between January 1986 and March 1998, 1410 patients were enrolled in a three-arm randomised controlled clinical trial testing non-standard fractionation to the whole breast after breast conservation surgery for T1-3 N0-1 M0 breast cancer. Patients were randomised to 50 Gy in 25 fractions (control arm) versus 2 dose levels of an experimental schedule delivering 13 fractions of 3.0 Gy or 3.3 Gy over 5 weeks (treating five times per fortnight). Electron boost allocation (14 Gy in 7 fractions) was determined independently of this randomisation. Frontal photographs were taken after surgery under standard conditions and repeated annually to 5 years. Patients were reviewed clinically 3-monthly to 3 years, 6-monthly to 5 years and annually to 10 years. Change in photographic breast appearance was the primary endpoint. Local tumour control was a secondary endpoint.

**Results:** The normal tissue changes have been previously presented: The estimate of a/b for any change in breast appearance was 4.2 Gy (95% C.I. 2.5 - 7.3). 152 ipsilateral breast recurrences occurred during follow up. There was no statistically significant difference in tumour control probability at 10 years between the three arms of the trial, all ranging between 86% and 88%. The increase in 10-year tumour control rate in the breast after 42.9 Gy in 13 fractions compared to 50 Gy in 25 fractions was estimated at 1% with 95% confidence limits -2% and +3%. The point estimate of a/b for tumour control was 4 Gy.

**Conclusion:** It is possible that the fractionation sensitivity of breast cancer may be comparable with that of dose-limiting normal tissues, but low statistical power limits the precision of the point estimate of a/b for tumour control. Nevertheless, the current trial has sufficient power to generate a useful width of the confidence interval for equivalence of the 25 and 13 F schedules.